| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 10/672,543 | FOOS, GEORGE J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David E. Bochna | 3679 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>David E. Bochna</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Duane Switzer</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 19 August 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 28 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed changing the dependecy of claim 28 in order to put the case in condition for allowance | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| David Bl | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |